CIVIL DOCKET UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jury demand date:

D. C. Form No. 106A Rev.

ATTORNEYS TITLE OF CASE For plaintiff: Ben G. Price Byron S. Matthews Tulsa County Legal Aid Society, In Emily G. Mainard 2521 E. 1st St. Tulsa, Oklahoma 74104 VS Elliott Richardson, Secretary of Health, Education and Welfare of the United States of America, For defendant: Nathan Graham & Robert Santee NAME OR DISB. REC. DATE COSTS STATISTICAL RECORD

| STATISTICAL RECORD | 00818 | | RECEIPT NO. | |
|--|----------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| J.S. 5 mailed 9-1-7/ | Clerk | | none | |
| J.S. 6 mailed Review of Hearing Examiner decision: | Marshal n Docket fee | | | |
| Action arose at: | Witness fees Depositions | | | |

| DATE | PROCEEDINGS | Date Order or Judgment Noted |
|--|--|--|
| 8-12-71 | Affidavit of Emily G. Mainard filed. h | |
| 8-12-71 | Affidavit of Financial Status filed.h | |
| 8-24-71 | Order granting plaintiff permission to proceed in forma pauperis, | |
| 8-24-71 | filed. (AEB-J)m (copies mailed) Complaint, filed. Summons issued. m | |
| | Return on summons, filed. Mailed 2 copies to Atty. Gen., Wash., D.C. | * |
| | l copy to Elliot Richardson, Secy of HEW, Wash, D. C.; and served | de la companya de la |
| 30 30 73 | U.S. Dist. Atty in Tulsa, Okla. all on 8-25-71. (Fees: \$3.00)m | |
| 10-15-11 | Motion of Defendant, for enlargement of time within which to answer otherwise plead, filed. v | DIEADING |
| | Brief in support of motion for enlargement of time within which to | 1 1 2 2 ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° |
| | answer or otherwise plead, filed. v motion where to marly | 330 |
| 10-13-71 | Comment of the contract of the | |
| | otherwise plead; having from Oct. 24, 1971, to and including Dec. 23, 1971, filed. (AEB-J)v | Toronto Carlos C |
| 12-9-7 | Answer of defendant filed.h | of Commission and Com |
| 12-9-7 | Certification of Dale Cook Chairman, Appeals Council, etc. filed.h | |
| 5-9-72 | Defts' Motion for S/J, filed. r | |
| 5-9-72 | Mem in support of Mot for S/J, filed. r | |
| 5-9-72 | Ordered by the Court that Pltf are granted 10 days to respond to Defts Motion for S/J. (AEB-J)r | |
| 5-18-72 | Appl. of pltf. for ext. of time to respond, filed. rm Order to | To compare the com |
| 5-18-72 | Order Granting Extension of time within which Plaintiff may respond | or |
| | plead, for 10 days, filed. (AEB-J)v -copies mailed. | |
| 5-30-72 | Appl. of pltf. for ext. of time to answer, filed. rm | - |
| 5-31-72 | Pltf's response to motion for summary judgment, and for summary | |
| 5-31-72 | judgment pursuant to R. 56, filed. g Memorandum in support of pltf's response to motion for summary judg- | |
|) J± 12 | ment, and in favor of pltf's motion for summary judgment, filed. g | |
| 5-31-72 | Ordered by Court that Deft. is to respond within 10 days to pltf's | |
| | motion for summary judgment. (AEB-J)g | |
| 6-2-72 | Order granting pltf. to 6/7/72 to answer. (AEB-J)rm - copies mailed | |
| 6-8-72 | Response to Motion for Summary Judgment, by the Deft., filed. v | |
| 10-4-72 | It is ordered by the Magistrate that it is his recommendation the | |
| 10 - 72 | pltf's motion for S/J be denied & deft's motion for S/J be granted. | |
| THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT | Deft. counsel to prepare F & R within 5 days. (MLB-Mag)hm | |
| 10-5-72 | Findings and recommendations of Magistrate, filed. (MLB-Mag)hm | |
| 10 7-12 | (copies mailed) | |
| | (| |
| 10-19-7 | Order filed and entered, that Pltf's Motion for S/J is denied; Deft | S I |
| оди эколомирова | Motion for S/J is granted, filed and entered. $(AE^B-J)r$ (Copies mail | ed) |
| | | |